UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/674,017           | 09/30/2003                                     | Myoung-Kee Baek      | 8734.240.00 US      | 2379             |
|                      | 7590 02/23/201<br><b>DNG &amp; ALDRIDG</b> E L | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 1900 K STREET, NW    |  |                      | TALBOT, BRIAN K     |                  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20006 |  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                      |  |                      | 1715                |                  |
|                      |  |                      |                     |                  |
|                      |  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                      |  |                      | 02/23/2011          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/674,017      | BAEK ET AL.  |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |  |
| LXUIIIIICI      | Artonit      |  |  |

|  | Brian K. Talbot  | 1715  |   |
|--|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with  | the correspondence add  | ress                                      |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>08 February 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS  |  | •   |   |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:   | the same day as filing a Notic<br>replies: (1) an amendment, af<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compli           | ce of Appeal. To avoid abar<br>fidavit, or other evidence, v<br>ance with 37 CFR 41.31; o | which places the r (3) a Request          |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date  | of the final rejection.  |   |   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the r<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEI        | mailing date of the final rejection   | on.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding an<br>chortened statutory period for repl<br>than three months after the mailin | nount of the fee. The appropri<br>y originally set in the final Offic                     | ate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e  | e)), to avoid dismissal of the  |   |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by   | out prior to the date of filing a  | brief will not be entered be  | 2021180                                   |
| (a) 🔀 They raise new issues that would require further con   | nsideration and/or search (see   |   | cause                                     |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet  | • •  | lly reducing or simplifying t   | he issues for                             |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o  | corresponding number of final  | ly raigated alaims  |   |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1  |  | ry rejected claims.   |   |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   | ` ''   | n-Compliant Amendment (   | PTOL-324)                                 |
| <ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>  |  | in Compliant Amendment (  | 1 102 024).                               |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |  | rate, timely filed amendme  | nt canceling the                          |
| <ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ul>  |  | will be entered and an e  | xplanation of                             |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .  |  |   |   |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-10,12 and 14-19</u> .   |  |   |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.   |  |   |   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  | A   |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | d sufficient reasons why the af  | fidavit or other evidence is  | necessary and                             |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a  | appeal and/or appellant fail  | s to provide a                            |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims at   | ter entry is below or attach  | ed.                                       |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu   | t does NOT place the applicat  | ion in condition for allowan  | ce because:                               |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |   |
|  | /Brian K Talbot/<br>Primary Examiner,  | Art Unit 1715   |   |
|  |  |   |   |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Finally rejected claims did not require all the newly added limitations as now recited in claim 12, however those limitations appear to overcome the art of record absent further search and consideration.